

January 11, 1995

could be divided into 30 parts. Without dividing it I can attempt to amend each of those 30 parts, couldn't I, without dividing it?

SENATOR WILL: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If I divided it then I would be attempting to amend each one of those 30 parts, wouldn't I?

SENATOR WILL: If you divided it, I would assume that you would be...if this rule change were adopted?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, without the rule change.

SENATOR WILL: Without the rule change right now, yeah, you could attempt to amend any of 30 thirty parts.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And so I could take either procedure, don't divide the question and attempt to amend each one without a division of the question or divide the question and attempt to amend each one subject to the division of the question procedure. Is that correct?

SENATOR WILL: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So where is the time saved? If this bill...let's say this motion is adopted, I still can attempt to amend each one of those components without dividing the question. Is that true?

SENATOR WILL: If the question is not divided, yes, that's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now how is it going to take less time for me to attempt to amend each of the 30 parts without dividing the question, how will that be done more rapidly than if I attempted to amend each part pursuant to a division of the question?

SENATOR WILL: Certainly, Senator Chambers, if an individual member is intent upon introducing amendments to a bill to any proposition, this will not change that. If they are that persistent it will occur.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's the answer because I am running out of time. Members of the Legislature, I just want to show you again