

January 11, 1995

be in order at that point. Simply once a division is ordered, each of those divisions is treated individually and is not by rule allowed to be amended. That is the sum and substance of rule change number 11.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Senator Will. Those wishing to speak to the amendment, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I rise just really to raise some questions about this rule change, the proposed rule change. I think that it should probably be thought out a little better. As I understand it and, Senator Will, if you would respond along the way just to make sure that I do understand it. We talk about once a division is ordered by the presiding officer each component shall be treated as a separate and distinct proposition and the new language then would read "an individual component shall not be amendable". Correct?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: Correct.

SENATOR HALL: Does that also apply to a committee amendment?

SENATOR WILL: I would assume that if a division is ordered, this is a general statement in the rules, and I would assume that if a division is ordered on a committee amendment that at that point, yes, the individual components would not be amendable.

SENATOR HALL: Okay, I would argue that that's accurate as well. That would be my interpretation that it applies not only to committee amendments, but to any amendments. So if you were to offer committee amendments, for example, to a piece of legislation, Revenue Committee that you sit on, okay, there was a committee amendment, you were the Vice Chair of that committee, Senator Warner happened to be absent from the body and you were carrying that proposal. I did not like the committee amendments, but yet you had to clean them up. I asked for a division of the question. You then can amend your own committee amendments, correct, under this rule change?

SENATOR WILL: At the point that they are divided that would be correct. The...