

January 11, 1995

SENATOR PIRSCH: Would you repeat, would you repeat again that last amendment which, it seems to me, would speak to within the legislative session, nothing in this rule to...

SENATOR WILL: The amendment that we're considering right now?

SENATOR PIRSCH: Um-huh.

SENATOR WILL: Would say that nothing in this rule would preclude amendments to the rules during the discussion of a motion to adopt permanent rules.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Which then would include that legislative session and would allow a member to have an immediate without going through this cumbersome process of setting for public hearing?

SENATOR WILL: Well, I don't know that that...

SENATOR PIRSCH: Is that correct?

SENATOR WILL: I don't know that it's cumbersome, but it...yeah, there could be a totally new idea arise, at this point during debate, and it would not be out of order. My amendment would clarify that.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay. And when I say cumbersome, I mean that if...you have eliminated any time table that the committee must go through by eliminating that original rule. So during a legislative session we now do not have any of those time limits or responsibilities of the committee to follow through on a rule change.

SENATOR WILL: That would be correct. The committee would have more latitude than under the current rule.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR PIRSCH: So, but again, by adding this amendment we will give that kind of rule freedom that I think Senator Beutler said the Rules Committee intended with...

SENATOR WILL: Absolutely.

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...without putting back all those time limits.