

January 11, 1995

says that all Rules Committee...all proposed rules changes are referred to the Rules Committee and the committee can hold a hearing and report final action to the Legislature. The other thing that it does, so it sets no...there is no time frame within which the committee must act. They're not prohibited from acting, they certainly could. If a situation would arise where we did need a rule change in the midst of a session, there is nothing in this that would prohibit the Rules Committee from acting. The other thing that this would do is make sure that prior to the start of each regular session, because typically the Rules Committee will hold its hearing and make its recommendations with an Executive Session shortly before or shortly after the beginning of the legislative session. This would require each rule change to be submitted to the Rules Committee at least seven days prior to the commencement of the regular session. And I think there's an amendment up there to clarify that it's at least seven, as opposed to seven specifically. One thing that I've experienced as Rules Committee Chair is rules changes coming in very, very late prior to the hearing, sometimes within a day before the hearing. That makes it very difficult, not only for the senators to familiarize themselves with the proposed rules changes, but also for the staff to prepare for the hearing. So I think that a reasonable thing would be a week, because I mean that's the standard that we use for our public hearings on bills. And I think that anyone that is adamantly interested in seeing a rules change ought to be able to abide by that time frame. So I would ask your support for rules change number eight, and as I said, I believe we have an amendment on it.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Will. The Chair recognizes Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Madam President, members, I have a...like to have a little dialogue with Senator Will, if he would be willing to do that...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Will.

SPEAKER WITHEM: ...because I do have some questions about this. I think, first of all, the general thrust of the amendment to assure that changes to our rules do, in fact, receive a hearing, consideration from the Rules Committee, at least I interpret that as the main intent of this, is that correct, Senator Will?