

November 10, 1992 LB 1

that they want to draw out this chess game just a little further and, therefore, will vote for the amendment, even though they are not going to vote for the bill, I hope when time comes to vote to advance it, it will either be a no or a not voting. This bill does not deserve to advance and it certainly does not deserve to pass. More is at stake, in my opinion, now than what this bill does or what it fails to do. I think our rules now lie prostrate bleeding great gobs of blood on the legislative floor because there was a great desire to get a specific...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...provision added to a bill than to maintain the integrity of our rules. But I lost that one, but it wasn't just me. I think the Legislature lost something. On the amendment that is being offered, I believe it has no more merit now than it did the several other times that we voted against it. I hope those that voted against it before will vote against it now. I wish that Senator Hall could do what would to me be a principle thing and vote against what Senator Bernard-Stevens and his cohorts are attempting to do. The bill is closer to being what it was represented as being to the public when we were called here to special session than it will be if you adopt the amendment...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that Senator Bernard-Stevens wants you to adopt.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Warner, followed by Senator Robinson.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, Madam President, members of the Legislature, my remarks are going to be brief because I have said this a number of times already. It is the only issue as far as I am concerned. Others may have other issues but there is only one with me, and that issue is pure and simple. I want to make sure that we have a constitutional bill. I don't want the gap to remain at another \$97 million when we come back in session, and my concern is that we have portrayed this bill from the beginning that...on this particular area that it is a surcharge on depreciation, an excise tax by...reflected in the income tax. And clearly with the deviation of going back to property as it was in the bill now, it no longer reflects our