

should be burned in our memory that that's what was voted on by a majority...well, no, a majority voted to overrule. We just didn't have enough who would vote that way. But I think this bill is one which, under the circumstances, I could not possibly vote for, and I know my one little vote is not going to make any difference. I know without my vote they will easily get 33 votes to put the emergency clause on it and cause it to take effect immediately. So although it is going to go to Final Reading now after we move it from Select, if it moves from Select on the machine vote that I am going to ask for, then I am going to see how many of those rural senators and others who feel that the present form of the bill is not one that they can support, I am going to see how they will vote. Hardball is being played. Thank you, Senator Hefner...I meant, yes, Senator Hefner. Our thumb will both...both of our thumbs, all four, will be going in the same direction, and I do have to say something that troubles me. Senator Lamb today has made more sense to me than the whole time he has been down here, and I am wondering just why that is, but at any rate, everybody can be right on occasion and I think Senator Lamb on more than one occasion has been right, and I am glad to see that he is leaving here being right, not in the sense of right wing, but correct. And I am glad to see that as he winds down his time in the Legislature, he is moving leftward and toward that position of those called "liberal". But at any rate, this bill now, I think, is a political creature, pure and simple, and it boils down to which group is going to be able to bludgeon the other into giving ground. In a special session, I don't see that many other issues that are available for horse trading. I don't see what other bills are available to try to intimidate somebody on by saying if you don't vote this way I am not going to vote the way you want. This is the vehicle that carries all the hopes or dashes all the hopes of those who came to this special session. I am not going to vote for it. I am not. A Pyrrhic victory is one where a battle occurs and you win the battle, but you decimate so many of your forces in the process that you lose the war. So this that Senator Bernard-Stevens and others have wrought may turn out to be a Pyrrhic victory. The first opportunity to determine that will be whether they get us to reconsider without having to call it a reconsideration, and I am not going to raise that issue again because I think that was resolved with a vote that was taken. This attempt to offer this thing again is not being handled as a reconsideration, so it will only take 25 votes to add it to the bill. I hope the 25 votes are not here, but if there are some senators who decide