

November 10, 1992 LB 1

Committee has acted, you are on Final Reading. Where we find ourselves here is that the E & R Committee has not met, there is work for the committee to do because we have made amendments in this bill in this file, at the Select File stage. They haven't done their work. If they haven't done their work, is the bill on Final Reading? The implication of the rule to me is that it is not now on Final Reading. It would only be on Final Reading at the point that the E & R Committee had done its work, declared its amendments, those amendments had been read into the record, and that we were proceeding them to deal with the Final Reading, whether or not the bill had been printed. I think Ernie makes a fair case, that until the E & R Committee has done its work, the bill is not now on Final Reading, and that I think is a fair implication of the way the rules are read.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Madam President and members. Very briefly, I think Senator Landis made some excellent points. Obviously looking at the rule book and making some clear assumptions that I think are accurate. Senator Bernard-Stevens, I don't know if he is still in the room, but he talked about this is nothing new, we do take bills that are not yet on Final Reading and bring them back for amendments. That is true but the point isn't whether or not you can do that. You can pull a bill back, but can you, again, offer for the fourth time an amendment that has been up and failed, reconsidered and failed under...a motion to suspend the rules failed, and now for a fourth time bring it up again. That is the point, not that you can bring back and amend, but can you bring back and amend again for the fourth time on Select File, as Senator Landis pointed out, without having had it advanced to Final Reading? Can you do that? I think you can't. I don't think you can. I think the rules do not allow for that. The Chair ruled you can do that. I am afraid I think the Chair is wrong. I think that we should overrule the Chair and not allow this because I think it does not follow the rules as they should be, and that is not to say if you agree or disagree with the issue at hand. The question is the rules and our following procedure, and I think in following procedure we should overrule the Chair.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you, Madam President. I rise also to suggest we should overrule the Chair, and if Senator Chambers