

November 10, 1992 LB 1, 2

cost this much but I think it is important, one, to point out to the public and to the court the actual administrative cost of the Bahensky case, and, two, LB 1, as we know, is we are changing income tax forms and changing interest rates there are costs involved there, and I feel we should outline that cost and vote on it on the front end. So with that, I'd simply ask for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Moore. Senator Hall, followed by Senator Lamb. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President and members. Senator Moore, would you respond to a question?

SENATOR MOORE: Sure.

SENATOR HALL: This part of the...now working from your handout, could we change the top portion. You say cost of the court decision, where does that show up in the amendment, it is on page 1.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, it's...I believe the dollar figure is switched. It is 325, a spillover...oh, it is the combination of the two of them over two, that is why they don't match up because it is over two years and the numbers don't match up that way. But the 200 of it is the 325 and 100 of it is the 125, the balance is the cost of LB 1.

SENATOR HALL: Okay, so in other words the...but it all comes out of the General Fund?

SENATOR MOORE: It all comes out of the General Fund, yes.

SENATOR HALL: And would you be supportive of an amendment to take it out of the Supreme Court's budget?

SENATOR MOORE: That crossed my mind very seriously.

SENATOR HALL: And it is a very serious question.

SENATOR MOORE: No, I would not. That is sort of a punitive action though...

SENATOR HALL: Punitive action, yeah.