

November 10, 1992 LB 1

viciously, and I think it was vicious, not just because I like Senator Schmit, how they attacked Senator Schmit for matters and bills and propositions he supported on the floor that related to gambling because he had an interest in some gambling machines. And I didn't hear a senator stand up and say, hey, you shouldn't do that. Then they quoted Senator Hall having said things that Senator Hall denies having made. But then, when it comes to the executive branch and there's a direct link between a lobbyist and a bill that comes out of that office that changes the law in a way that when we came down here the law was not to be changed, I'm supposed to be like Senator Landis and pretend that no question exists. As much as I like Senator Landis and ordinarily will admire what he does, he doesn't realize that I'm going to speak my mind on the floor of this Legislature and the people who are his friends are not my friends. I don't owe them anything and if I have friends out there in my district who say and do things that I disagree with, then they have to go when the wagon comes too. I have seen the Legislature's image sullied. I have seen the Legislature attacked and made everybody's whipping person because the Legislature travels cheap and allows everybody to kick it in any way they choose. But since I'm a member of this body, I have begun to think in those terms of being a part of trying to maintain the integrity of the Legislature as an institution and a system. If one of us had done the same thing, you know what would be said about it. And I just want these other divisions and branches of government to know that there is one person on this floor who does not belong to either party, who has no chain that can be jerked by anybody, and who is not going to back away from an issue because of disagreement or criticism.

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't believe that we ought to suspend the rules and give Senator Warner what he wants. And, again, I disagree with Senator Landis now on this thing of suspending the rules where he said he's going to vote to suspend the rules and give Senator Warner a chance to make his case. He can make his case on this motion. It's a way to have it both ways, to see that the amendment passes but to vote against it, to say that my vote may have been necessary to get it on the floor but you see that I voted against it so I wasn't for it. If you're not for it, it should not...there should not be a vote to suspend the rules. And I tell Senator Landis as he told me, you can't have it both ways. If you agree with Senator Warner, vote to suspend