

November 10, 1992 LB 1

everybody else seems to think it's wonderful, I...to repeal and take back the Hall amendment, I think, we ought to stick with it myself. I don't see any problem with it. It was in the original bill, and there is a reason for it. So, I would rise in opposition to this motion to reconsider.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Hall, followed by Senators Lamb and Chambers.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President. Just to say I don't want anybody to misunderstand that I don't like the sales only formula. I want to vote to take it out because of the timing and everything that revolved around the amendment itself. Had I had an opportunity, I would have fought this issue on General File. But it wasn't the right time to do it, neither is now. And I appreciate Senator Wickersham and Senator Hillman's comments, because now you know how I felt when I found this in the bill and the department could barely...fairly poorly...did a fairly poorly job in terms of justifying why the change was made. We had to find it ourselves. I mean that's my point. Now you know how I felt when I...when it came up, in terms of the bill, that we were changing substantively, I thought, and still believe we're making a shift. Let's take it out, I don't want to belabor the argument or the debate today, but I mean I think that's a real question that ought to be looked at. There's...we had a bill brought to committee that I think was brought by I think it was Senator Coordsen and Senator Dierks, actually, this year to go back to the three-pronged approach in terms of valuation. And those are the kinds of things we ought to examine when we're looking over our tax system over the next year. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you, Madam Chair and members. Well, as I understand it 829 was in the same situation that we are in right now. And so if we rescind Senator Hall's amendment then we have a different sort of a rebate system. Those...you know, I don't know what we're doing if we remove Senator Hall's amendment. Now, as the situation stands for most of us, the rebate will equal the taxes paid, and so it's pretty much a wash. But by changing this for these big companies, I have no idea what we're doing, whether they're going to get a much bigger rebate than they paid, or much smaller. And I can see that Senator Hall has the answers on the tip of his tongue, and I would give the rest