

November 10, 1992 LB 1

there, we had to find it for ourselves. Let's take it back out and I'll be done.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Kristensen, followed by Senators Wickersham, Wesely and Schmit. Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Madam President. With Senator Hall's last comments I guess there's no, unless there would be other lights that would oppose that, I would follow Senator Hall's lead and would encourage people to reconsider that vote. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Madam President. I think more of the same is in order for me. I apologize to Senator Hall for not listening more carefully when he explained the amendment the first time. But, once I became aware of the effect, I believe it is desirable to go back to the bill as introduced, and would urge you to now reject his amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Although we're turning into a lovefest, I'd like to rise in opposition to the motion. This gets back to some of the key issues that we dealt with on 775, 773, 772. Part of the package made a change in how we assessed income taxes on corporations, making a very big benefit to the larger corporations that export sales, and in fact harming, in some cases, our small town businesses that don't have the exports of sales, had to pick up the burden, and that change was thought to be in the economic interest of Nebraska. Fine, okay, nobody is trying to change that back. But what Senator Hall is talking about ties right back into that issue. If you make the change from 1991 to 1992 that the original bill that Senator Hillman would have us go back to, again you are helping the larger exporting businesses. And the small, little guy out there that doesn't export, small town retailer, the other types of retailers, people that don't export the sales, have the sales here locally, I think are harmed by that. So I thought Senator Hall was right to go back to the original bill, the language that we had. Go back to that. I thought in terms of equity it would help the little guy versus the big guy. So, although