

November 10, 1992 LB 1

question on whether or not there will be lawsuits prospectively with regard to any legislation that we pass, I can't foresee the future, I am not a soothsayer or do I have a crystal ball. I guess anyone with an attorney and the necessary filing fee can file suit. That may be the case no matter what we do in this body today, or what we do in the next session. What I can tell you is that this amendment has a price tag of \$22 million on it, \$22 million that if we pull out at this point in time we've got to find something to replace it. I would urge you to reject Senator Hartnett's amendment and to move the bill forward. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Will, followed by Senators Warner and Schmit. Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: Madam President, members of the body, I rise in support of the Hartnett amendment. I understand, as one of the cointroducers of the original LB 829 with Senator Hall, I understand very well the problem that Senator Hall is pointing out as to the shortfall that the adoption of this amendment would result in. And I think, ideally, the amendment would be structured to make up that revenue somehow. Nonetheless though, this is an appropriate amendment I think because as Senator Horgan pointed out, the original intent of LB 829 was to address the personal property tax problem. And the method of taxing depreciation was chosen because the types of items that typically paid the personal property tax, prior to their exemption, were items that were primarily personal property, equipment owned by farmers, equipment owned by businesses. And I think by using the depreciation method we got at that property. However, also we got at real property that was owned by people who depreciate it for commercial purposes. And certainly, at least in my mind, as one of the cointroducers of that measure, it was never my intent to go after real property as a source of revenue in LB 829. And we caught them because we used the depreciation method. I don't think it was an appropriate thing to do. I think real property owners have a legitimate complaint when they say that they are being asked to make up for a problem that they did not contribute to. And ultimately the people that are going to pay this tax are the people that rent the property from the real property owners, the renters of apartment buildings, the renters of duplexes. And I think that's the ultimate injustice is to ask those people to chip in on this problem. I would encourage your vote for the Hartnett amendment.