

September 30, 1992 LB 10

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: I think there may be some issues here of procedure that we'll have to take up. But the underlying point is this, if you add 23 votes to change the Schimek amendments and 24 votes to advance the bill, there are people on this floor that will do something about the National Guard. What is not an accurate reflection is that this body is unwilling to do anything. The bill not advancing actually is not the accurate characterization. The point here is that we have lots of people that will do something and many more than 25. We are just kind of outbidding each other as to how we'll do. I think Senator Beutler's point is well raised. Let us do what we can do. It leaves the door open. There are other days to fight but, at this point, why don't we take the chips that are on the table that we could...that we can acknowledge and go forward. I think Senator Beutler makes an appropriate motion.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Robak.

SENATOR ROBAK: A point of order. Can the Schimek amendment to the amendment be reconsidered since it was withdrawn?

SPEAKER BAACK: We are looking at that right now. Just one second.

SENATOR ROBAK: Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: The Schimek amendment to the amendment can be reconsidered, yes.

SENATOR ROBAK: Even if the amendment was withdrawn by the introducer, the amendment to the amendment?

SPEAKER BAACK: A vote can still be...yes, because we are reconsidering that and when you're reconsidering it that puts it back before us again. So it can be reconsidered, yes.

SENATOR ROBAK: Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Okay, I've got this all straight now. Exactly...this is exactly what we're doing. Since the rules say that a motion to reconsider cannot be handled on a bill that has technically failed to advance, right now what is happening is I have replaced LB 10 on the agenda for the second round on Select