

September 30, 1992 LB 10

an educational program on October 1, 1992, but while I am enrolled in that educational program, I decide to take a pilot ground school flight training course because it is being offered, even though it is not within my program, the way I read this is I would still be able to get monies for that course because the monies would be given as long as I was still completing my program, which I would technically still be doing. I am just taking another course outside at the same time. Would you concur that the monies could be used also for that.

SENATOR CONWAY: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No, Senator Bernard-Stevens, I wouldn't. That is not the intent of this wording. The intent is that it would apply only to the educational program in which the student is enrolled.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay, then I want to clarify further then to see, I am just reading now what is in the amendment, shall continue to be entitled to the credit at such rate, which would be either I think 25-75 or 50-50 later on, at such rate until the completion of the educational program. So as long as I am within the program, I am qualified for that rate until I complete that program. In the meantime, those courses that I take would be covered by the current...the rate that I would be under at the time?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I understand your question, Senator, but that is not the intent of the language and perhaps the language needs to be tightened, but that is not the intent.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Schimek. I guess one of the concerns I always have is when we get into amendments, and I empathize with Senator Schimek trying to wrap this all together in a very short time in the special session, even if we did have a short time-out, and I empathize with that. But I do get a little bit concerned when the words say something and our intent implies something different. I get concerned, and in the long run, what actually that means, if you go back to, as Senator Withem and Wesely were talking about, the literal interpretation of what the words say or what the intent. And I just have a little bit of concern there and I am going to have to mull that over before we get to the vote on the amendment personally. Thank you, Senator Schimek.