

September 30, 1992 LB 29

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR HABERMAN: ...Senator Wesely, if every course is moved out of Curtis and you have empty buildings there, sure, they're going to close it down. That's just common sense. You don't go out and give a million and a half dollars if somebody has empty buildings. So I think we're nitpicking. I really do. The Coordinating Commission, it has already been established, has the power to move all the programs. If they move all but two programs, it's not going to be financed. I don't know what you're worried about, Senator Wesely. I actually don't know what you're worried about. And, Senator Chizek, I don't know what you're worried about. You two fellows are like a couple of badgers after them, arrh, arrh, arrh, get 'em, get 'em, get 'em. Why don't you just let the commission do their work and if there's...if there aren't any courses there, it's over. I don't see what you're trying to do. I have already said, I will support what the commission recommends. If they recommend that they move all of the courses, I will support it, then the college is gone. I don't understand what you're trying to do, Senator Wesely. I will give you some of my time so you can explain it.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: I've listened and I've learned and I've understood and I appreciate what has been said. From the indications of the floor debate, it would appear to me that this amendment is not necessary, the concerns I have will be addressed and can be addressed and so I would ask unanimous consent to just withdraw this amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Is there objection? No objection, so ordered.

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: Mr. Speaker, I move the advancement of LB 29 to E & R for Engrossment.

SPEAKER BAACK: You've heard the motion to advance and that motion is debatable. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a couple