

September 30, 1992 LB 10

them on ADC, MIRF, on down the line. I mean that's just one of the problems. One of the problems is you make promises you can't afford, then what are you supposed to do about them. The other thing you do, obviously, is always break the campaign promise we all make to our constituents and raise taxes, that's the other way you can solve the problem, and that's a choice you can make. Senator Schimek's amendment gets us towards a good policy. And I agree with that point of it. But, obviously, besides the good policy of getting it to 50 percent, I think Senator Bohlke pointed out some very apropos facts about where else in state government we are 50 percent and where we don't offer 75 percent. But the fact is the whole impetus behind this bill was to try and effectuate a savings for the next biennium. Senator Schimek's amendment basically takes that away and does not realize that savings for a good number of years. And, for that reason, I simply have to oppose Senator Schimek's amendment and encourage the body to do the same. We are...today's not been as good as the first two days this week. We are getting that number lower. I would have liked to have kept it over 100, that's not going to happen. Senator Schimek's amendment is not going to break the bank by any means. But I, in my own mind, I think the whole reason we introduced the bill was to try and get a savings the next biennium. With Senator Schimek's amendment you do not do that. So, with that, for that reason, I'd oppose Senator Schimek's amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Moore. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. You know that I serve as the Chairman of the Banking, Commerce, and Insurance Committee, and this is kind of a quiet backwater committee. We are, for the most part, treated to an endless stream of three-pieced suits who come in and discuss the various inflated profit margins of certain financial institutions in one form or another. But before my service on that committee I served in the eye of the storm, the Government, Military and Veterans Affairs Committee. I was Chairman for that committee for four years. And in one of the previous incarnations of this fight, I want to...I don't see Ed Schrock here on the floor, but I want to tell him of a conversation we had...oh, there, good. We had General Binder, who was the Adjutant General, come in because we were looking at shrinking this program and setting some limitations on it. And the question of what kind of commitment had we made to students came up. And I asked him what the recruiters had been saying, and he told me what the recruiters