

September 30, 1992 LB 11

changes are imperative to their ability to be able to be in that economic development marketplace and provide services to the potential expanding or creation of businesses coming into their areas. They view this as very vital to their future lifeblood of their communities. And so I think to pull it out from underneath them with the idea that it probably won't be restored, and that would probably be the case; that this is a way that we will short them this \$1.5 million for a limited period of time, and then we can revisit the issue. So with that I offer the amendment to you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Conway. Now, we will proceed with discussion on the amendment offered by Senator Conway. Senator Moore, Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: (inaudible).

SPEAKER BAACK: Oh, I didn't want to...didn't mean to wake you, Senator Moore, but I just...Senator Hall. I do not see Senator Hall. Senator Robinson on the Conway amendment. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. I think Senator Warner said it best. The problems we've got with the budget are not going to be over automatically, and I think again if we're getting into a situation where we're making commitments long term, I'd rather not be making a commitment that this comes back on board after a certain period of time, if I understand the Conway amendment properly. I think we stick with the bill that was introduced. I think we stick with the cut. I think that's forever more and everybody knows those ground rules and we don't go back and forth, back and forth. Let's just make the change, stick with it and have the situation known by all, rather than going to a point where we cut and then go back and then decide we can't go back, and we just go...that's not the way to do business. I think we should reject the Conway amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Hall, did you wish to speak to the Conway amendment?

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. Again I rise to oppose this amendment. The arguments have been made on the one hand by proponents of the MIRE that these are dollars that are not traditionally spent from property taxes and then the