

September 30, 1992 LB 11

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Moore, no matter what happens here today, will the July of 1993 payments be made in full?

SENATOR MOORE: Yes, this does not affect a July...this, none of these amendments, unless the Schellpeper one does, not to my knowledge, but the original bill does not affect the June and July payment. So the only...the only community that has the potential of getting...being affected midbudget would be Omaha. All the other communities in the state, 520 some that receive money, would get their full allocation for their present budget year.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Okay, if the Schellpeper amendment...every amendment...no matter what.

SENATOR MOORE: I can not address the Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Okay.

SENATOR MOORE: I didn't draft that one.

SENATOR CUDABACK: But if it fails, your answer is, yes, they will receive their full payment for July of 1993.

SENATOR MOORE: Under the bill as introduced by the committee, yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Okay, thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you. Mr. Speaker and members, I again rise in opposition to the Schellpeper amendment. You heard a lot of opposition testimony this morning. You've heard a lot of support testimony this afternoon. In the end it's a question of fairness. We have budget cuts that are applied to the state agencies, state operating budgets. We haven't touched the state aid side of the equation. We haven't touched the budgets that are in place now for those local subdivisions of government which constitute the bulk of the budget. Everybody is talking about, well, they've got budgets in place. We can't...we can't affect them midyear. Well, let's talk about the budgets we have in place for the state agencies. They're in place too. They've been operating under a budget. We're not afraid to cut those