

September 30, 1992 LB 11

that was not named in the Lincoln Journal editorial who opposed the MIRE proposal for the reasons I have given many times on this floor. We sign 20-year contracts and commit funds which we do not have any assurance at all will be there. Given the present nature of the attitude towards smoking, it would seem to me that it will be very unlikely that the cigarette tax revenues that today we are divvying up are going to be available 18 years from now. That doesn't change the fact that once again we have encouraged the cities to plan for the expenditure of a certain amount of funds from the state and now we have, in effect, jerked the trip rope on them and naturally the complaints are loud. And, of course, we have every reason to expect those complaints to come toward this body because we made the commitment and now we back away. It's kind of strange, I've asked Senator Wesely again if he ought not to get the information together relative to the excessive cost of the 775 program. That program, according to the debate or dialogue I had with Senator Vard Johnson, was not supposed to cost more than 18 million after a cumulative 4 years. Now after a cumulative 4 years, that cost is 57 million. I have said on the floor and off the floor and Senator Wesely has said it also, most of the rest of you have said, no one is talking about scuttling the 775 program. But what needs to be done is that in the future every single application needs to be reviewed very closely so that in effect we do not let someone into the alfalfa patch to graze at will without knowing whether or not there is going to be a corresponding benefit. It is very obvious that some of the companies who are now participating are not going to be paying back as well toward the state as we had expected. I am sure that some of them are doing as well or better and I'm sure that is what the proponents of LB 775 had in mind that only those that could really show a positive benefit ought to have been included. Had that been true, we would not now be looking at almost a 30-year payback on that type of legislation. The point I want to make is this. The MIRE program started a couple of years ago with the best of intentions. And I do not like to terminate or I'm sympathetic toward what Senator Schellpeper is trying to do. But we all of a sudden find ourselves short of money. Along comes the other facts and that is that because of 775 the drain on the revenue of the state is greater than what was anticipated. And if we shut it off, if we shut off the program, if we repeal the bill, then we would establish a most favored class of corporate structures which will benefit from that legislation to the detriment...