

September 30, 1992 LB 1

to cut Curtis because they couldn't use any of that money for anything else. Right? That would...or could they? Could they just not fund that and consider that part of the 4 million dollar cut?

SENATOR MOORE: Well, I...that money is for cut...I mean, you asked a good question that I probably can't give you a straight answer to. We were trying to talk to the university attorneys this morning and they're actually gone. But I know what the intent is, that last night there was some discussion is that part of the lump sum, they certainly have to apply the 1.5 percent reduction to Curtis, could they include that in their overall reduction? I...I can't...I'm not going to give you an answer yes or no on that until I find the right answer there.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay. The point I'm trying to make is and we had a discussion last night with Senator Withem and now with Senator Moore is there's a real uncertainty about the status of Curtis, the funding for Curtis, the authority for Curtis. What can we do about Curtis? Now, I'm not trying to...in fact, I know Senator Chizek's original bill would have shut down the campus. Here, this proposal would be to shut down the funding for Curtis. I'm not going to end up pursuing this because ultimately it may serve no purpose because it's an unclear status. We have to take us out of the straitjacket we put ourselves in in 1988. We've got to reverse the language that put a special status for Curtis and put them on a more equal level with the other elements of the university system. And there again, I've got some information I've passed out on UNL but we're talking about UNO, we're talking about Kearney, we're talking about the Med Center, all part of the system, and supposedly Curtis is also part of that system or semi a part of that system. But what can or can't be done with that campus is in doubt right now. And it doesn't seem fair, to me, as we leave here and we make those cuts to the university not quite knowing what we're going to have happen and then leave here and have everybody looked at but Curtis does not seem fair and I don't know how we get at that. I'm looking for those who have been more involved in that issue over the years. But however we get at it, my goal isn't to automatically say it's gone, it's out of here. We, in a short special session, have not got perhaps the time to make that decision. But as the decision-making process goes from this point forward for the university, that element cannot be taken off the table, in my