

September 30, 1992 LB 1

well as ours. If you choose to do that, that's the only thing I would caution you because actually you are going to decrease your cut number below a hundred million dollars, or your adjustment over a hundred million dollars, but actually the ability is still there to do that. And so if the body does this, and I'm basically indifferent to what they do, the only concern I have is it's going to make our number look far lower than the Governor's, but if the Governor is in agreement to do this, we ought to treat both of the numbers the same. So, with that, that's all I have to say on the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Moore. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. Speaker and members, Senator Moore, I didn't hear all your comments but I think my understanding is that this action would still allow for the cuts to occur but because we don't take the money out of the budget doesn't mean they have to occur. Is that kind of the consequence?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: I mean, some would say you've got the cart in front of the horse, if you take the cut now, they administratively don't have much choice but to take the cut.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay.

SENATOR MOORE: Put the money back in,...

SENATOR WESELY: They could...

SENATOR MOORE: ...they may or may not, that's the decision that'd have to be determined.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, let me...the Health Committee has reviewed these six items that have been passed out, and, Senator Schellpeper, number one is back in the amendment now?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes. We didn't...we thought that was also part of the package but in talking to Liz this morning, Hruska, we find out that it is not. And we wanted it in there but it is not and that's about \$160,000.

SENATOR WESELY: So you...