

September 30, 1992 LB 1

General Fund, \$33 million in personal services, and \$8 million in operating funds. It's a big commitment by our state. And I would not be...stand up here and argue that it's a commitment that we shouldn't make. And maybe Senator Warner is right, maybe what we need to do is to put restrictions on the number of students that attend these universities. Maybe we need to cap attendance at UNO, or cap attendance at other institutions. UNO is the fastest growing university within the system. I know that when US West was looking for...to potentially locate its computer center here, they were concerned about research. But they were also concerned about qualified graduates who could staff their facility. And we were not turning out enough qualified graduates to do the job. In fact, I don't know what the overriding reason was for US West's decision not to locate here, but I would suggest that a large component of it probably was the inability of UNO and UNL to turn out enough technically or educated graduates in the technical sciences to do the computer work that was necessary to do the US West...to perform the US West tasks. So we need to strike that balance. And I don't know where the answer lies. Arbitrarily cutting research dollars is not the way to go, and that's why I'm withdrawing this amendment. The reason I brought the amendment, though, was to focus attention on what the impact of cuts is on the university system, is on the delivery of services to students at the university, at every university in our state. It is a crisis. It could get to the cataclysmic stage, if we don't reach the issue next year, next biennium and really adopt something that's rational. And again, I guess, in conclusion I would say I call on the university and the Board of Regents to please address this problem, to please come back with a plan, to tell us where those research dollars are going, to tell us how those research dollars impact positively educational opportunities and economic development in our state. Don't come here, don't come to the Appropriations Committee next year with broad, vague generalities about how your money is being spent. Come to us with definite plans, because vague generalities aren't going to sell anymore, they aren't going to work, they aren't answering the questions that we need answered. We need specific answers to the questions that we're posing about how we're allocating our resources. If we need to put \$45 million of General Fund dollars in research, so be it, but tell us why and justify it. Don't just say, gee, we're a research institution, if we want to be a research institution like every other research institution then we want to...then we ought to put this money in there. That isn't enough of a justification.