

September 30, 1992 LB 1

voted for and supported efforts like the research initiative that I think move our university forward. And there's an ongoing dichotomy there that causes problems. I mean right now the University of Nebraska ranks, I believe, 71st or 74th in the nation on total research dollars. And that is a yardstick that is used, whether we like it or not, to measure a good institution. When we talk about peer group, groupings and criteria used, that is one of the preeminent ones. Obviously, if you cut back on research dollars, you cut back on that. And fortunately or unfortunately, depending on your point of view, you may definitely cut back on the status of that institution around the country. Is that something do we need to worry about in here when you're talking about student needs? Maybe, maybe not. But I think you need to understand that. And it's one of those things that's a mixed signal. We tell the university we want them to compete on the national level, we want them to move forward, but we don't necessarily like the national criteria. And one of those national criteria is the amount of money spent on research, the amount of federal dollars generated on research, and, you know, that's how you measure success, one of the ways you measure success, and a rather important way success is measured across the country. And it's not like Senator Ashford quoted 36, 30 some million dollars on research, obviously that is something all of us, well, not all of us if you didn't vote for it, but obviously the research initiative we made a major step forward in because, one, the concern of economic development when US West failed to locate in Nebraska, that was used as a step forward, I think it was. But, obviously, when it comes to a budget cutting scenario, where do your priorities lie. And I think Congresswoman Pat Schroeder, and her and I don't necessarily agree all that often on things, but she makes...she's made some very good points in recent months about, you know, questioning where should we be spending dollars in higher education in this country. And it is more of a national problem. But until it's changed on a national level, to make the University of Nebraska competitive on the national level, we will have to spend money on research. I mean when you compare UNO to UNL to Kearney and the state colleges, you have to understand that UNL is, indeed, different, a land grant institution, it is the research institution, that's why more dollars go there. UNO and Kearney may certainly desire to be that. I guess I don't...they'd have to argue that we need to have two. But I think Senator Ashford makes a good point. Whatever that balance is, it's something that's very tedious, we have to try and find and work towards. You know, we...I'd like