

September 29, 1992 LB 29

apologize for that statement. I may well be one of those people. If I were supporting Curtis I would want the date reviewed. My preference would be to see Curtis returned to the status it was before, and that is what we were talking about, as part of the university system. It troubles me and it ought to trouble any fair-minded individual that we have somehow enshrined a campus in western Nebraska above all other campuses within the university system where it can't be touched, where we, at the university, we are going to cut the university, and somehow, when we talk about specific cuts, those are real cuts. We talk about general cuts, well, those don't hurt anybody, but we are cutting the university by 1.5 percent and there are going to be programs within that university that are going to suffer. There may be some that are closed down, but the Curtis program is removed from that. I don't like that. The way we agreed to do it in the committee is to speed up the review of the program. I am willing to support that at this point. Continue to support that, I think it is a good policy decision. Personally, I would prefer the policy decision of placing it back under the university system. As the chair of the committee that advanced this proposal in committee, I am supporting this and no other and I continue to take exception to your remarks.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Could I call the question, please.

SPEAKER BAACK: The question has been called. Do I see five hands? I do. We will now vote on ceasing debate. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: Debate has ceased. We will now go to the closing on the committee amendments by Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: The committee amendments strike the original portion of the bill that would close Curtis, simply reenact the portion of the statutes that call for coordinating commission review and speed that date up from July 1 of 1995 to July 1 of 1993. I think that is a fair proposal. I would urge you to consider that and my guess is you may have some other discussion on the bill after the committee amendments are adopted. I would urge you to support the committee amendments.