

September 29, 1992 LB 29

just like to relate some of what happened in the executive session when this bill was discussed. Now those of us that are supportive of Curtis, yeah, we wanted to kill the bill or not let it get out of committee. But...and we probably had the votes to do that. I believe it would have been a 4-4 vote, but Senator Withem and others said, well, let's just jack this date back from '95 to '93 so that the coordinating commission can decide the fate of the school earlier. And when some of us objected to that, saying, hey, they haven't had time to build up their student enrollment, by that time they said, in effect, well, if you don't agree to this, we are going to keep bashing Curtis, bashing Curtis every session, and their enrollment is not going to grow because of the uncertainty that we are going to create. That is, basically, what they told us. And Senator Withem, I think, won't argue with that analysis of what was said. So we said, all right, well, maybe it is, maybe it is the best thing to do to get the uncertainty resolved in regard to Curtis earlier, and we will go along with the '93 date rather than the '95 date. Well, now the way I see it now that agreement has gone by the boards. But we are going to bash Curtis anyway, even though we agreed to advance that date from '95 to '93 when the coordinating commission would make their decision. So we are still, as I see it, Senator Chizek and Senator Withem have reneged on their gentleman's agreement in the committee that they wouldn't bash Curtis if we agreed to this advanced date. Maybe I am all wrong, maybe I have got that wrong. Maybe Senator Chizek didn't agree to that, but I certainly got that impression from Senator Withem, that, look, you'd better agree to this or else we will keep bashing. Well, I don't know whether Curtis should exist or not. I don't know that, but I am willing to wait until the July 1, 1993, which is, what, about eight months, to see what they do have to say about it, and I think that is reasonable. And I guess I regret what I have been hearing on the floor here in regard to this issue in light of what happened in the committee amend...in the committee during executive session. Now what is the responsibility of the coordinating commission? I don't know. I have a newspaper article here, Sunday, September 20th, 1992, that is just a few days ago. It is written by Jack Kennedy of the Lincoln Journal-Star, where he talks about the problems of College Park. You know, should College Park exist? It is a long column. Probably many of you saw that, and one of the statements in here that one of the regents made is, are we encouraging mediocrity by spreading this around the state when there is not enough money for existing schools? Well, College Park, Curtis,