

September 29, 1992 LB 29

as originally introduced by Senator Chizek, but if that is the step you want to take, certainly, the proposal by the committee amendments are simply too weak to get at that issue. And so I am sure...

SENATOR WARNER: One minute.

SENATOR WESELY: ...if you want to protect the campus, that is what you want to do, but for those of us who want to lay it on the table and at least allow it to be looked at, the committee amendments are not the way to go. So, again, I rise in opposition to the committee amendments and look forward to perhaps an amendment to the committee amendments or some other sort of amendment that would allow more of an open discussion on this issue than the committee amendments would allow.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Wesely, you were the next light. Did you want to go on?

SENATOR WESELY: Let me...can I ask Senator Chizek, the original bill would have shut down Curtis completely, is that right, or I am trying to...

SENATOR CHIZEK: Yes, it would...well, it wouldn't have shut it down, it would have shut off General Funds.

SENATOR WESELY: The General Funds? And how much money are we talking about, I didn't happen to have a chance to look at the fiscal note yet?

SENATOR CHIZEK: About a million, five in General Fund appropriations.

SENATOR WESELY: A million, five, and as I understand it, the budget cut to the university is something like \$4 million or more, is that correct, Senator Moore? Is the budget cut to the university 4 million?

SENATOR MOORE: Four million, two.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, Senator Moore is indicating that the budget cut to the university is 4.2. So again here is an indication of the magnitude of the cut to the university. Even if you shut down the Curtis campus, you are saving a million and half, but you are still far short of the budget cut that totally