

September 29, 1992 LB 20

Brown 0, Buffalo 7, Burt 2, Butler 1, Cass 5, Cedar 1, Chase 1, Cherry 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0. Folks, the cases are in Lincoln and Omaha. What is, Senator Schimek? I'll answer your question. Arlene, we need to know...or we need to know the population of Kearney. So I'm going to support Senator Lamb's amendment for several reasons. The biggest reason, I guess, is we came down here, called into special session to cut the budget. This bill was proposed by Senator Lindsay. All of the things that were said for this bill by Senator Lindsay and the rest of the group who proposed LB 20 go away, all those things don't mean anything anymore. All of the things that Senator Chambers said are probably true, they stick around, which is fine. That's his job, that's what he's here for. He's very good, I admire the man. But to get back to the main issue, what was right before, the issue that was correct before is not correct anymore, it's been compromised. This body has said, well, possibly they say if they...well, we don't want to go that far, or we don't want to do this, we don't want to do that, we really didn't mean this, we really didn't mean that, let's compromise. I went around and told about an amendment I had and they said, well, I'm willing to fight, I'm not ready to cave in, I want to fight. So my answer was, well, get up on your feet and say something then instead of sitting there. But they didn't do it. This morning, yesterday afternoon there was no compromise, no talk of a compromise. So I'm going to support Senator Lamb's amendment, I hope some other people support his amendment. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Haberman. To speak to the Lamb amendment, Senator Bohlke. Senator Lindsay, to the Lamb amendment.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to the Lamb amendment for a couple of reasons. Number one, I think it's a good compromise. I think we are cutting \$4 million from the budget under this amendment. We're not doing what Senator Haberman is talking about, backing off and not making the cuts. I suggest where the backing off and not making the cuts is, everything he's accusing me of, let's look at what he voted for on MIRE, he voted no. I suspect if we go through here, he passed on another one I'm looking here at. If we're going to be...if we're going to say we're not willing to make the cuts, Senator Haberman, let's be consistent, let's be consistent. I'm willing to make the cuts. The reason I'm going for the compromise is because I think it is a reasonable