

September 29, 1992 LB 4, 20

part of the process, whether you like it or not. Right now I don't know whether I'm going to support it or not. I'm much closer since I see there's a \$4 million cut in it. So that's all I have to say. Thank you.

SENATOR WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Robinson. Senator Horgan.

SENATOR HORGAN: Thank you, Mr. President and members. Just briefly, I want to rise in support of Senator Chambers' amendment and say that I do believe it's a good compromise and a good solution to the problem that we have. But I do think, as in the original bill, with the idea that we're going to reinstate this in 1995, as the discussion we had on LB 4, we are making promises here that we are likely not to be able to keep. And those promises are that we're going to reinstate these programs, and that in 1995 we're going to have a lot more money and a lot more ability to start reimbursing these things. And I don't think that's going to occur. And I, personally, don't believe it would be good public policy. I think it is good public policy to say in the last trimester of a pregnancy, a woman who has no cash coming in, who is pregnant, who's about to give birth is given some assistance by the state, and is given some additional financial money in which she can go out and utilize that money to prepare herself for that situation. I don't believe it's good public policy at the time that she is diagnosed to start beginning those payments, nor do I believe that we should make that promise in 1995 that we're going to start that process all over again. I think it was a mistake to do it ten years ago, when we decided to do it, and I believe it's...we're sending a wrong message when we tell people we're going to be reinstating these programs when we don't know if we're going to have that money. But I do believe that going to the last trimester is a good decision, and I will support the amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Horgan. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. President, members, been asked a question about fiscal impact. Senator Haberman, you don't have in front of you...the fiscal note is very difficult to read. It shows a cumulative General Fund, federal fund figure. I did pass out for you a sheet, everybody should have a copy called the ADC unborn program, it's a one-page sheet, everybody has it. If you look at that, the way to get the fiscal figures is look at the state only program, and that is what the Chambers amendment