

September 29, 1992 LB 20

is because I think it is a disservice to women as well as to children and it's not the best use of the resources that we have. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Rasmussen. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I once read a philosopher that made an excellent point. He said that you can recognize the difference between a truth and a great truth by a simple test. For a truth, the reverse is a falsehood, something not true, but that for a great truth the reverse is another truth that you find yourself caught between competing truths and caught in the dilemma as you try to choose between them. We find ourselves, I think in LB 20, facing two different perceptions of what's best for the State of Nebraska, one particular and one general. I think everyone here wants a balanced budget. Everyone here wants sensible programs. Everyone here wants to invest in people but they want to do it in an appropriate and legitimate fashion. At the same time, they want to help people who are in need with those needs and this amendment is an attempt to bridge the gap between the dilemma, between those two realities. I think it's offered in good faith and, most importantly, it's accepted in good faith by Senator Lindsay. I intend to support the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, may I have a question of Senator Lindsay, please.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Lindsay, would you respond to a question, please.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Lindsay, my figures and notes show that '92-93, '93-94, '94-95 would be a \$7 million savings. I don't understand or I don't think I heard the figure that now will be saved.

SENATOR LINDSAY: The figure that will be saved under LB 20 as it would be amended by the Chambers amendment would be a little over 4 million. There would be some...there would be...I'll get you the exact figures here in just a minute, but there would also be during the last three months of pregnancy there would be federal financial participation where there would be in addition...whatever the state is leaving intact there would also