

September 29, 1992 LB 4, 20

that it is not germane to this bill. You're welcome, Senator Hall. Mr. Clerk, do you have further amendments on the bill? We are now on the bill itself. Senator Wehrbein, did you wish to speak to the bill? Senator Hefner. Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, I think Senator Hall had a very good idea. It's just too bad we didn't have more time to really go into that idea. I rise to support LB 4. If you thought LB 20 was a bad bill, this bill, LB 4, is worse. In my six years on the Health Committee I don't think I've ever had such a hard time supporting a bill as I did LB 4, but it's something that we have to do. If you would have been in our hearing and saw the individuals that came in and testified and asked for just let us stay on the program, you just want to help them. You just think you have to help them. But then when you find out that there are no dollars for the actual program then you think, well, what can we do? We can't put this out there if we don't have any dollars. I wish we could find some money someplace. I think Senator Hall had a good idea because that's someplace that we can get some dollars from sometime. We can't this special session. I think it was outside of the call and it wasn't germane and there's some other things. But we need to take a look at that sometime. But LB 4, the program will still be there. It's going to be delayed two years. It's a very good program but it's a program that I really hate to see put off but I think we're being forced into it because of our financial situation. But, like I said, it's a very bad bill that we have to support at this time. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Any other discussion? Seeing none, Senator Wesely, did you wish to close on the advancement of LB 4?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes, thank you. I want to thank Senator Hall for his attempt to help and appreciate it very much. It does raise the equity issue how some are able to do quite well in some of our programs and policies and others are not. One thing I do want to emphasize is I hope the fiscal note will be changed because from what we heard at the hearing the cost of this program is much less than what is indicated on the fiscal impact note which includes both General and federal funds. And so this program is not as costly as it would appear. And, in my view, the assistance it provides is one that is absolutely necessary for these families. Again, these are individuals, developmentally disabled individuals, waiting, and the case of