

September 29, 1992 LB 20

there...had been there before. Just a word though to those in the body who feel that somehow if we adopt this amendment that we are bending to a filibuster and I think that's an important point because there may be those who support Senator Lindsay's bill that suggests that if we adopt this compromise or if we adopt this rule that we are...the real reason we're doing it is not for good, sound public policy reasons but is because we're bending to Senator Chambers' filibuster. And that may be how some interpret it. But it would not be consistent with the facts and would not be consistent with the process. First of all, again, going back to using the historical perspective that I referred to earlier, we would simply be bringing Nebraska up to the point where most states went with the change in the federal match in 1981, with the advent of the Reagan administration's policy of cutting back these kinds of services in the first couple of years of the Reagan administration, number one. Number two, this proposal going to the three months was a proposal which was introduced as a bill in the Legislature last year by Senator Moore as principal sponsor and I as a cosponsor that was killed in the Health and Human Services Committee but it was out there. It was a proposal that had been made last year and it was a good, sound...it was made for good, sound public policy reasons because it brought Nebraska up to the norm of most states across the nation. Now some...some states admittedly have gone to the point where Senator Lindsay suggests we should be going which is to wipe out, in his bill, to wipe out the benefit altogether. However, I'm not sure if there are any states that have adopted what this bill says now which is that we wipe out the benefit and then the benefit comes into effect again in 1985...1995. I think we probably would be the...we would then go back to being the only state in the union that has the full benefit throughout the whole nine months.

SENATOR MOORE: One minute, Senator Ashford.

SENATOR ASHFORD: So my point, I guess, is that we're not really bending to a filibuster here. This is a proposal, again, that I made in the Appropriations Committee. Senator Moore made it last year. It's the proposal that 36 other states have adopted. It is consistent with reality because it reflects the needs, I think, of pregnant women in this society in the last three months of pregnancy. It is not bending to a filibuster, it's...it is a good, sound...in fact, I'm rather surprised that Senator Chambers has agreed to this idea, but...because it is something that's been floating around for a long time. It is