

September 29, 1992 LB 20

of, one, the cost of a child's care to cover the cost of something else, the cost of being pregnant. I will tell you what I will do, I will be happy to support continued funding for the cost of pregnancy. What I think is fair by the proponents of this bill is the invalidity of using as a benchmark for those costs the costs of, in fact, another mouth in the home with those attendant expenses. There needs to be, in my estimation, a number...a determination, a fair, rational examination of the cost of pregnancy and to pay those costs. The yardstick we are using to me doesn't make sense, and if you force me to choosing one or the other, I am not exactly sure what I am going to do. Right now I have been voting for this amendment because it is closer to reality than either of the alternatives given to us. Ignore the...well, cut out this payment or continue it with what I think is a faulty benchmark. Senator Chambers makes it more difficult because what he doesn't tell us is things other than the continuation of this program he would consider as being appropriate places to consider of making alterations.

SENATOR CONWAY: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: And by cross-examining the rest of us with language that we may not like, that we didn't help draft, with ideas that we didn't help choose, we are going to find that we get a bunch of no's out of this, if we are looking for yes's. These pieces need to be on the table. What are reasoned accommodations that meet human needs that don't continue an unreasonable or inappropriate benchmark being used? Secondly, what are we willing to do to define those? And, third, if we were to agree with them, could we move on the bill? Those, I think, are profitable areas, and I find that Senator Ashford's discussion has been very helpful on that score. Senator Chambers and Senator Lindsay have been very, very forceful in their discussion of the alternatives on both ends of the scale, but, unfortunately, the pattern of communication is not going to be helpful to get us to some reasoned accommodation in the middle. By the way, I approve totally of this kind of discussion because without it, we are not going to make any advance. We need to talk to each other in a way that can solve it and find yes's rather than to declare our no's to each other on floor speeches.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Senator. Senator Wesely, your light is next.