

please.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Chairman and members, Senator Chambers, this is the bill I introduced last year along with everything else that the committee killed in February. So was it reasonable then? I don't know. I thought it was the best I could get then and it didn't get anywhere. Lo and behold, this year now where you have a bigger piece of the pie, I think we have a chance of getting it. So...you never asked me, but the answer to your question is I think the body needs to vote on LB 20 in its present form because in February...in January, when I introduced those bills, we had a Medicaid problem in this state as far as the Medicaid bill going up much higher than anticipated, but since that time, it has increased...I mean in this session we are adding \$30 million to the Medicaid deficit appropriation for the Department of Social Services. That is over and above \$15 million we added last year in the regular session. That 15 million dollar figure is the one that prompted me to introduce the bill last year, and now it is 30, so it is a total of 45 we have added to the department's budget in one year. And it is just one of those things that we continue to say when you look at Medicaid there is very few things, that great hosanna, the federal government allows you to even discuss. This is an optional service. I think this along with LB 5 that unfortunately it got...well, I won't say unfortunately, I didn't like the way it was actually written, but I think the issue of optional services this body needs to deal with. I think LB 20 in its present form, you know, as Senator Horgan mentioned, maybe we are too...maybe this swings the pendulum too far back, but you can look in USA Today any day of the week almost, and if you look at those roster of states, every day there is a different state dealing with Medicaid cuts trying to bring the Medicaid component of their budget under control. Does LB 20 solve the problem? No, it is one of the very few things that we can address. I think the Legislature should address this. Obviously, if Senator Chambers continues to be successful throughout the week on tying the body up, then you, at that point in time, can consider trying to work something out. This is not the time to do it, in my opinion. I think LB 20, in its present form, is the form that the body should pass...should pass the bill. If, as I said, you have reasonable policy and, obviously, reasonable policy, that what we have on the books right now is not unreasonable policy in my opinion. The question is, is how much you can afford to do, and that's any man or woman's own interpretation of where the money should go.