

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...they come for me they'll get you, too. So the students went outside the room and they're praying. Then they hear all these terrible sounds, smell these stenchs from the bowels of the earth, and Faust started to say, oh, if I could just become a star and get lost in the universe, if I could become a drop of water and be lost in the moat...in the ocean. Then he said, Mephistopheles! That meant they came and got him. It's not too late for you all. You all don't have to do this dastardly deed. This one program that's being cut, you don't have to cut and I don't think you ought to let the Governor put you in that position. So, as a step in that direction, we should vote yes on this reconsideration motion.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Crosby.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Mr. President. You're right, Senator Chambers, none of us have to be sheep and follow the leader, whomever that may be. And I think and I've always demonstrated the fact that I'm fairly independent and didn't always do that, try not to. One of the things I'd like...and I do hope you'll vote for the reconsideration because I still think it's a good amendment. But one of the things I'd like to mention right now, federal law and regulations are still a little murky as to whether federal money can be used for AFDC, pregnant women in the last trimester. These...the makers of these programs recognize that even though we have other programs, WIC, Title XX, Medicaid for prenatal care, and food stamps, there are still legitimate expenses and needs that a poor pregnant woman has for her unborn child, such as shelter and additional food and other things that...even a bigger dress. If she needs a bigger dress and goes to a garage sale, she still has to pay for it. People at garage sales don't give the stuff away, you have to pay for it. This federal law also recognizes that unborn children are dependent children. And when it comes right down to it, there isn't anyone who...any child that's more dependent on the mother than the unborn child. The child's in the womb, depends on the mother's lifeline to keep that child alive and nutritionally fed. And the mother's health depends...the child's health is dependent on the mother's health. There was a Supreme Court decision that reversed a circuit court decision having to do with this whole question. And one of the interesting things about it is that Justice Thurgood Marshall dissented and stated several things, including what I have just said, that the statutes' ambiguous, for one thing, and also with the fact about that the child in the womb