

September 29, 1992 LB 20

right now what we're talking about is the monthly. Also, there are three other provisions that we need to address. One is principle wage earner, that changes the eligibility. Another one is the definition of an unemployed parent, a parent is considered unemployed when he or she is totally unemployed for 30 days and is currently unemployed, is currently totally unemployed, but has worked at some time. And the third one is the 100 hour rule, and that's another thing that we must consider in the deliberation. Last evening, and when I spoke I didn't really even think I would speak on this topic at all. But Senator Schmit is entirely right, these guidelines are given to us by the federal government and I don't think that they realize what they have done to some of the states. Our state has...all we do is we have to go by what federal government says. And these guidelines were adopted without ever thinking of reality, what wages are for the working person, and then by giving...raising these guidelines. I admit that a family on ADC, 400 or \$500 a month is absolutely nothing now. But there are other benefits, for instance subsidy, energy subsidy, and child care services, and medical services, and you name it down the line there in addition to it. But the minimum amount is...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR NELSON: ...minimum. And I do want the body, though, to be very careful when they talk about raising percentages that we're not cutting any budgets at all when we do that. Maybe they don't want to, but we must watch it closely.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Nelson. Senator Wesely, you're next.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. Again, in follow-up, I gave you some background and information about the number of people affected and the dollar figures involved. I want to note a couple of additional things. It's kind of interesting the proposal that we have to go to 185 percent of poverty for prenatal care, that concept has passed this Legislature, and I want to commend all of you for that. Several times over the years, and it has been vetoed by three different governors, recently I think we passed it again last session or last year and Governor Nelson vetoed it. So this Legislature has consistently been on record in support of prenatal care, has consistently voted for that benefit. But due to various financial circumstances, we haven't been very successful in