

September 28, 1992 LB 20

the quota of European immigrants that was not being filled. And Joseph Kennedy and others said, no, that will not be done. So children who could have been rescued were not. That's American democracy in action. That is American concern for other human beings in action. With this bill that we're look at today we see American values in action. I can't understand for the life of me why sometimes on this floor people become offended when I taunt people who claim to believe in religion when they act in a way contrary to it. Why do they get upset when I talk about nothing, because that's apparently what their religion is. But they cannot get upset when living people, who need help that this Legislature has the power to bestow, are deprived that help. Senator Lindsay said that Senator Moore was trying, during the other discussion, to bring us back to that amendment to the committee amendment. And that's true. But we're talking about something much broader than these amendments, and you all know it just like I know it. And I don't believe there's a one of you who could stand before those civic groups, maybe some of those civic groups you can because they would applaud you as this Richard Limberger, or whoever it was that took issue with Senator Crosby. Him and his ilk, those kind of people would applaud you if you told them that you're cutting out help for poor women, because they like that, that makes them feel good, and it gives them a charge. But it does not make me feel good. I would be as wrong as the people I criticize for not following their religion, if I were not to be true to the things that I believe by trying to prevent this kind of bad legislation from passing. So I'm more determined not to let it pass than some of you are to see it pass. But there is going to come another day, there is going to come discussion of another issue, and it's going to pit two groups against each other, one called pro-life, the other called pro-choice. And it seems, based on the support that this piece of nefarious legislation may have, that all of that posturing about being pro-life is really a political activity also. What is going to be least offensive to the people from whom votes are being sought? And that can be a powerful, driving force for somebody to whom staying in office means more than everything else. For my part, when we're faced with a real situation involving the welfare of those who cannot help themselves, the choice, for me, is clear. In reality there is no choice for me. A choice is when you're presented with alternatives, each one has merit, and you make a decision to go with one rather than the other, that's a choice. In this instance there are not two meritorious positions, there is only one, and that position says that this bill ought not to pass.