

September 28, 1992 LB 20

monthly payment is \$71 a month; 510 of these individuals are not on ADC otherwise, and are receiving \$293 a month. So the question the committee had was, do you cut off these payments of these 1,200 some individuals immediately, the original bill, I think, took effect immediately upon signing of the Governor, or do we set a date in the future, a date certain to do that, or do you grandfather, so to speak, in individuals currently receiving these payments? The original committee amendment said that we would provide for these payments for those on the ADC and covered now, but would be discontinued in the future. But we would allow those currently on those programs to continue and then stop those payments in the future for any new additional individuals. And I think Senator Lindsay is sending around a memo from Mary Dean Harvey that indicates that there is a problem with the federal rules and regulations about allowing some in and not allowing others into this program, and so the federal match for those seventh, eighth and ninth months of pregnancy would not be allowed, which was not known to the committee when we adopted the original amendment. And so in working with the Health Committee and in working with Senator Lindsay, we are now offering this amendment to the committee amendments, which would have the...would not allow for a grandfather clause, and would cut off payments as of December 1, and so allow for two more months, individuals currently on the program to get benefits, but then it would stop at that point. Now that's the background of the committee decision. And I give the rest of my time or turn to Senator Lindsay for the actual amendment to the committee amendments.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. You should have, or will shortly have a copy of a memorandum from DSS regarding the problem with the grandfathering clause that was put in by the committee. I have no problem with the concept. I think Senator Cudaback brought it up in committee that it's not fair to just simply cut the women off with absolutely no warning whatsoever. And his concern, I think, a concern of several other members of the committee, was to provide some bridge to bridge a gap of what will be a somewhat painful transition. When Department of Social Services had a chance to review the grandfather clause, they came up with the problem that is set forth in the memorandum, and that is that it would cause problems with the federal financial participation, due to treating two people similarly situated in a different manner