

September 28, 1992 LB 14

be in favor of them going ahead and revamping as they see fit, with the amount of knowledge of government that you have of this bill?

SENATOR MOORE: Obviously, if they knew there was an adverse impact, they shouldn't vote for it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, I'm saying if they knew what you know. You're the only one who knows what you know, and you're an honest man. If, whoever is going to do all this revamping in other areas knew no more about those areas than you know about this one, would you want them to go forth with that revamping of all of government? By that I mean merging departments, eliminating agencies and so forth.

SENATOR MOORE: And I would love to hear the recommendations, but I would think they would want to know, I mean, if they're going to save \$10 million, they ought to be able to prove to me how they're going to save \$10 million.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, but they don't have to save anything, because this bill may not save anything. So we can eliminate that.

SENATOR MOORE: True.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What they do is not going to save anything, they can't show you where it's going to produce efficiency, they can't show you any duplication that's going to be eliminated. They say what we will wind up with is a change from what we have now, but we can't say that it's going to do anything different from the way things are being done now. And with that kind of a position these changes ought to go forth? Changes for the sake of change?

SENATOR MOORE: Well, the way you ask the question my answer is no.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. And here's the...that's the only way that Senator Moore could answer the question. And because of the way we've seen him handle the Appropriations Committee...

SENATOR CONWAY PRESIDING

SENATOR CONWAY: One minute.