

September 28, 1992 LB 14

being, but we are...most of us believe that God made the world in seven days, but I don't think that we can change...oh, he is saying (laughter), okay, he rested on the seventh, you are right. He worked for six and then rested on the seventh, but I just really don't believe that we can come along under the guise of cutting back on the budget to accommodate the problem that we have with Medicaid and decide to revolutionize government in one short week. So that's one of the reasons. There has been some other bills introduced to do this same thing, to try to merge one function with another from one department to the other, and I, at this time I just don't think it is a good time to do it. So I have to think we have to remember why we are here. We are here because we have a problem with how Medicaid is going to be funded, and we don't have enough money for the services that we have been giving. And, remember, that so much of that money, that Medicaid, is for elderly people. The big part of Medicaid that goes to elderly are the people who are in nursing homes, who have spent down and can no longer fund their own care. So that is what we should be looking at is how we can undo the mischief that has been done by the federal government cutting back, or how we can cope with those cuts, but not trying to revolutionize government in this one short week. We all talk about that and we think it's a great idea, but when you come right down to it, it is very difficult to say that one department can be taken over by another department just like that. It is not that simple, and I go bac' to my original concern about taking human resources, personnel, and putting it under the umbrella of DAS is that I think because we deal with some people, with people, state employees, the human resources personnel, we are to be very careful whom we give that responsibility to, and what umbrella it is under. I still think it should be a free-standing entity. I will continue to vote against this bill. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Crosby. Any other discussion on the amendment by Senator Chambers? Seeing none, Senator Chambers, would you like to close?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, there are a couple of points that I want to emphasize as much as I can. There is no need for this merger. There is nothing that Senator Lindsay has said in support of the merger which would show that a valuable goal will be achieved. No savings can be shown, no cuts in expenditures can be shown. As for this vague talk of efficiency, he has not stated how that would occur.