

September 28, 1992 LB 14

jeopardized. The Personnel, if we look at some comparisons to what other functions the Department of Administrative Services has, it basically is the operations division of government. It handles such functions as fiscal control, centralizing operations, some of those types of services are performed under DAS. What this does is takes into the department additional areas of operations that should be under the control of the department. As far as savings, and we are hearing about how much or whether there will be any savings at all, there is no question there will be savings. The problem is quantifying what those savings are, and until we can actually put it and see exactly which services can be coordinated better, it is hard to quanti...put an exact figure on that. In committee, the testimony was 50 to \$100,000. There will be a cut. There will be some savings. Talking to the Director of Administrative Services, some of the examples given are right now the coordination, for example, in work comp between the Personnel Board and the Risk Board, having them under one department can help coordinate those functions between the two. I think it is important that we do streamline those types of functions, and the question is we balance that, is the streamlining efficiency of government, on the one hand, with the possibility that Senator Chambers raises of the independence of the Personnel Board, independence of grievance process being compromised, I don't believe it is. And I don't see how that is going to be compromised and I don't think Senator Chambers has made a case for that. Again, I guess I'd reiterate, I think we are down to the personnel question. Senator Chambers, I believe, reserved the right to raise that, the question of risk management, but I think the historical background is risk management has had its place in DAS in the past. So I think we are doing the personnel question. He said, Senator Chambers I believe has basically said that there is a possibility of invading independence. I don't believe that is a question. I would urge that the amendment be rejected and...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR LINDSAY: ...that the bill be advanced. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Lindsay a question. Senator Lindsay, why not while we are merging don't we merge the Department of