

September 28, 1992 LB 10

stripping away of the committee amendment. So when Senator Conway makes his motion, we should vote no.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Conway.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Madam President and members of the Legislature. Senator Chambers is strategically getting rusty. I caught him a little earlier on one, and now I did it again. Senator Chambers says that if we would not adopt the committee amendments, then we, in essence, have left it in place and then Senator Bohlke's amendment would make that adjustment. If we do not adopt the committee amendments, what the green copy of the bill says, and what you are adopting by having Senator Bohlke's amendment to the green copy puts a \$500 cap on all of those people who are existing currently in the system that are used to getting a 75 percent and you are capping it back to 500, which for many of them is going to be even way less than even 50 percent. If you want to keep them at status quo in terms of what the student's status is, and that being that he pays 25 percent and then has 75 percent funded by somebody else, whether it be 50 percent by the state and 25 percent by the institution, or 75 percent by the state, which is the current situation, then I would suggest that you leave the committee amendments on if you don't want the student to fall into a change of status from where he is now. Now there is ways of rewriting the whole thing, and if the direction is to take the existing students back to 50 percent now, that is one way. What we could do is simply introduce stripping out all of the green copy language, and that would have to be deleted if you don't want the \$500 cap, that is the thing that is in there. So it is not all that clean by virtue of Senator Chambers saying vote down the committee amendments and then go ahead and attach Senator Bohlke's amendment because that is a prospective after July 1st of 1993 status for people being enrolled at that time, but we still have, in that green copy, you would have the situation of capping the student at \$500, which for some students who attend a community college and don't take a full load, that maybe cover all their tuition. For somebody who is taking a full load at the university, that may be even 25 percent of his tuition costs for the year. So it is not that clean yet, and so I would suggest that if, in fact, the committee amendment for the duration for the students who are already on board, the committee amendment says that the student will pay 25 like he is doing now. The state will reimburse the institutions up for the next 50 percent, and that the