

September 28, 1992 LB 10

If you do what you're proposing to do here, that's two or three hundred thousand dollars that at some point in time you will be asked to increase General Funds to make up the difference. If you don't do it then, you're actually talking about a decrease in total funds to the institutions. Is that something that we can't afford, they can't afford? I'm going to end that discussion. The only thing I would say in the beginning is that nobody, as is always the case when you try and shift the payment, somebody is going to pay it at some point in time. You have to understand that. Senator Chambers' amendment, and Senator Pirsch asked a good question, I don't...if you agree with Senator Chambers, I think you're better off just voting against the committee amendments. The way Senator Chambers' amendment is drafted, the bill would still say 50 percent but you're only going to pay 75 percent of that. I don't know whether the viper is going to pay 25 percent, I don't know how the viper has \$300,000, but somebody is going to have to pay it. I guess I would actually urge the body to oppose Senator Chambers' amendment, though I agree with what he's saying, and simply if you're of the opinion you don't like the...you don't want the institutions or the General Funds to pick it up, just vote against the committee amendments instead of voting for Senator Chambers' amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Moore. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, as usual, Senator Conway was awake and Senator Pirsch was awake also in terms of what this amendment that I offered would do. But the reason for offering any amendment to the committee amendment was for the purpose of calling attention to what the committee amendment is designed to do, to generate a type and level of discussion which I did not feel would occur without an attempt to derail the train and force people's attention to what this committee amendment is about. Many of these propositions contained in bills that are before us this special session have already been worked out and agreed to. I have not been a party to any of the discussions or any of the agreements, I don't know precisely what they are. But those with which I disagree, I intend to stand up and oppose and try to persuade the body to oppose them also. This committee amendment is bad with or without the amendment that I'm offering. I went back and told Senator Wehrbein as soon as he got through speaking that even if my amendment is adopted, I'm going to vote against the committee amendment, speak against the