

September 28, 1992 LB 1, 12

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on the motion to advance LB 12.

PRESIDENT MOUL: LB 12 is advanced. LB 1.

CLERK: LB 1 introduced by the Speaker at the request of the Governor. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on September 21 of this year, at that time referred to Appropriations Committee. The bill was advanced to General File. I do have committee amendments pending by the Appropriations Committee, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Madam President and members, as all of you are probably well aware of we had the budget briefing, so to speak, on Friday...Friday, was that Friday...it must have been Friday morning, it's been a long week...Friday morning explaining committee action. If you look at our handout, basically, if you look at page 3 of our handout and you look at the bottom three-quarters of that page, statute change is not required, that is probably the best one-page summary of all that is contained in LB 1 as far as actions. I mean, obviously, the bottom line is the one...is the dollar amount reduction in the across-the-board cut, and that's \$8.4 million, and, obviously, as you are well aware, well, maybe not well aware of, the Appropriations Committee recommended two deviations from an across-the-board reduction, one in the State Patrol to decrease only the amount that we could maintain trooper strength, and the Department of Public Institutions so we could...only in the administrative budget of the Department of Public Institutions on the operational side we're not forced with closing a ward, but over and above that the across-the-board cut is 1.5 percent General Fund share across all the agencies. The rest of those is basically the dollar amounts, either the Governor or the committee agreed on. That's the summary of all the activity in LB 1 on page 3. If you move on to page 4 and the remainder of the document, well, page 4 through page 6, if you look through the entire document, you can see that like in the Supreme Court where there is no change, the language...there's no committee amendment that affects LB 1 but, as mentioned there, that is contained in the bill itself. But anyplace where there's a committee amendment, which is AM18SS, and actually we have to apologize, our numbering system is wrong because anything above