

week, I don't think that there is anyone in the body who would disagree with me, that the level of discussion...the level might have been fine but the amount of discussion on the issue was sorely lacking. We have not particularly talked about, as a body, and we have not heard from the lawyers in the body that are so...usually they are so outspoken on what the Constitution means, and what the law means, and what-have-you, we haven't heard from them particularly, and I am going to be asking a few in the body some questions on that aspect of it. We haven't discussed, for example, we wanted to quickly get this over with so we could go home, so we are not going to have anybody particularly discuss is there a problem on the petition process. I think it is kind of like we don't want to know if there is problems. We suspect that there are but let's just go home, hunker down, and then just wait for the bombs to go off, and then we will do whatever we have to do next year. And it is kind of discouraging to see us, I think, go through that process without having a thorough, at least, analysis, so if there are vaguenesses on LB 9, or if there is some reason that we are doing something on LB 7, that there is a clear record as to why, rather than we just want to go home and put this behind us. I would like to put a couple of thing, at least, into the record, that I believe that if the body would take some time, that there are some problems in the vagueness of LB 9. And if the body believes, as I suspect the body does, that no matter what we do, there will be constitutional questions, particularly if we pass LB 7 or do nothing, please understand that when you put on the scale of problems, if you pass LB 7 as it is now, and there will be a challenge, then you are also going to have difficulty added to that with LB 9. You have got two now problems that we did not have before. To reiterate on LB 7, and then I will get back to LB 9 on the definition of vacancy, and I know Senator Kristensen had his thoughts on before, and I am hoping to hear from him on his thoughts on LB 9 and particularly Senator Lindsay, but if we go to LB 7, we need to again review quickly, at least, so that everyone knows what will happen when we pass 7? Well, for those that argue the Supreme Court said we had to act now, Madison County, if you believe that, and we do act now, Madison County would not sue. They would have no need to. What about the certificate of election holders in District 19? They have indicated, I have every reason to believe that they would, they would sue. What grounds would they have? Because if they don't have very legitimate grounds, then we should go ahead and pass 7 the way it is because we are going to have a better chance in the court with 7 than if we do something. What grounds would