

August 12, 1992 LB 7, 9

that we continue to show some kind of respect for the communities of interest, much like we showed that sort of respect when we originally did 614...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR CONWAY: ...to the best of our ability. Obviously, there were several people, that as I look around the room, I can remember Senator Cudaback being concerned with the fact that he still has a district, he still lives in his district, but the population base is significantly different than it was back when he originally was elected. But that is some of the anguish we all go through when we go through redistricting, and as I say, it is a problem but we are dealing with a massive puzzle of 14 or 49 different pieces that all have to come together and, ultimately, create the ultimate picture that we all look at. And in many cases, there are some serious reconfigurations, but the strategy at this point, basically, I think is an attempt to bring the bill back. Obviously, that would extend the session and I think these decisions have already been made in the minds of most people because it's really a philosophical decision more than anything else. So with that I urge you not to return LB 7 to Select File.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Conway. Senator Hefner.

SENATOR HEFNER: Madam President, I would yield my time to Senator Schimek.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Madam President and members of the body. Thank you, Senator Hefner, I appreciate that. You know, I would just like to make one last plea. I have probably been at this microphone maybe one too many times on this issue, and I have had a lot of people ask me why I am doing what I have been doing on this issue. I have had a lot of different reasons attributed to it, but I would like to tell you honestly that the main reason that I have fought so hard on this issue is because I believe it is the right way to go. And I just wish I could say one last thing to each and every one of you that would make you reevaluate your position just one more time. I think Senator Bernard-Stevens has brought, maybe it is only a technicality, maybe it wouldn't cause any problem, but I think that there is enough of a doubt about the language now of LB 9