

home, we can't stay another couple of days, and just...and passing this. It's phenomenal, particularly in light of the new standard. And I take somewhat of exception, although, you know, I appreciate Senator Wickersham's trying to put my words back into a more favorable context, but on this chord, Senator Wickersham, is a tax policy-setting body. They have adopted that role. They shouldn't. I taught American Government in high school and I taught about separation of powers, but evidently those people didn't take those lessons. They are a tax-setting body. They have created a tax policy in these new decisions that says that a sales...a property tax exemption is invalid if you do not fully fund it. Senator Lamb has indicated here on the floor of the Legislature we're not funding this one, we're shifting it to other property taxpayers, those that stay on the tax rolls. They made it clear and I don't know if they made it totally clear, they made it clear to Judge Shanahan what they're talking about is even applying these standards to the new constitutional amendment that has been put in place. We're talking about \$9.3 million of tax shift to people that currently aren't paying that, that will have to pay it if we adopt LB 3. It's the sort of thing that I know Senator Haberman, for years,...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...tried to get a sales tax exemption on ag equipment that was probably less of an impact, that the Legislature deadlocked and wouldn't seriously consider his proposal, yet we're coming here with Select File amendments, \$9.3 million. It doesn't make sense, we ought not to be doing it and we ought not to be passing LB 3.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Madam President and members, I first rise to correct Senator Withem. The Select File amendment was Senator Lamb's amendment. The sales tax amendment was forwarded by the committee but there wasn't a bill introduced as none of us introduced for a variety of reasons. The committee...Senator Coordsen did bring it up the day of the hearing, that came out of committee and was part of the committee amendments. So it wasn't a \$9.2 million Select File amendment. If it was \$4 million, that would be true; 9.2 would be inaccurate. And Senator Hall is correcting me but he is talking about Senator Coordsen's amendment, was my understanding, the one he is