

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Elmer. Senator Bernard-Stevens, did you wish to discuss this further?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Conway, again I would like to ask you a question, if I may.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Conway, would you respond to a question, please.

SENATOR CONWAY: Yes.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Jerry, one of the questions I asked on the previous amendment that we agreed to, one of the questions I asked was if we agreed with your amendment, would the amendment now currently before us would that...would there be any conflict? And I believe your answer was, no, you didn't believe so at that point. In talking with Bill Drafting, they are saying...and, again, I would like to have your interpretation, that on page 5 of your amendment, that would have been AM037S, that the section that was changed on page 5 would indicate that if this amendment now before us were agreed to that we may have to strike on page 4, number 3, which would be lines 19 through 21. And, again, I'm just trying to piece the different amendments together to see if that would, in fact, be necessary or not.

SENATOR CONWAY: And just a quick look-see at those lines, I wouldn't think so because if I remember right, 32-537 was the directions that one gets in terms of what constitutes a petition device and the process that one goes through in that regard. I think what your amendment is doing, and I believe it's confined to the idea that if, in fact, one holds a valid certificate, still lives within the district and that certificate is so numbered as per where they live that they would stay on board and not have to go through the petitioning process, we would still need most of that direction in terms of those who do want a petition on because under the last amendment we said other people could enter in and that was agreed to, that given that be the case, you would need a vehicle by which they could enter into that race. And so I think we could iron that out. I think you and I both know what we want in that regard and the question is if Bill Drafting thinks that line 19 through 21 needed some clarification in that regard by virtue of adoption of your amendment then certainly I would acquiesce to that formal