

August 7, 1992      LB 1, 3

start dismantling 1063, you might get some help, and you might not like what the result is. That's something that is an issue that probably will arise during the next regular session. But if, indeed, we do want to depart from what we did in 1063, I'll be there to help, and we'll see what happens. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Will. Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Madam President, members, I just rise to speak to a point Senator Hall made in reviewing the record and as he highlighted the original 80 percent refund and that that was raised to 100 percent as a concession to the farmers, that...to point out that the people who paid for that were actually farmers, who funded that raise to 100 percent. So it wasn't as if it was a great concession, it was something that seemed to make sense and simplify the process, in my mind and recollection. And certainly the cost of doing that was funded by the fertilizer tax to farmers.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Senator Robinson.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Call the question.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Robinson, there is no one else wishing to speak. I'll recognize Senator Hall for closing.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President. Thank you, Senator Robinson. I appreciated the opportunity to close very briefly on this issue. It is, I believe, the watershed issue on this piece of legislation and on the...LB 1 as well as 1063 and the reenactment, although Senator Lamb's amendment following will be probably the same kind of debate. Senator Bohlke, just in response real quickly, maybe we ought to raise that fertilizer tax so that my property taxes in Omaha will go down after the increase and enactment of 1063. But I don't know. I mean all I was trying to point out there, and I do recognize that that was an offset there. But there was a compromise struck, there was a deal that was made, that's what we do here, especially when you have an issue such as the personal property tax problem that had gone on hot and heavy for the last four years and, in effect, had been around for at least eight. Eight years in this body we had dealt with it in some form or fashion in every session when we met. And last session on 1063, because of court decisions, because of prior legislation, we had to fashion a compromise that was delicate at best. And it included concessions that