

refunded, that's the sole reason for it,...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR HALL: ...it is not to put ag in a bad way. I would urge you to support the amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, Madam President, members, it's almost a little frustrating here, because as Senator Hall mentioned as he read that transcript I was not so much in favor of this, I was indifferent because I didn't like the whole bill. He's absolutely right. But I guess my sole purpose of bringing this amendment is that it seems to make sense for the farm community, certainly makes sense to my own instincts as to what the best way to do something, and it's kind of a...and I can see the farm groups knocking down the doors and that collective group of political eunuchs, just like during the property tax debate, is nowhere to be found, and maybe we should just forget about it, I don't know. But the thing is it does make sense to do it now. And, Senator Hall, you can wait until next year, then it means January 1, '94. That's not the end of the world and maybe that is the proper time to do it, but I guess my opinion is that if you're talking about implementing the tax policy, implementing the tax policy of exempting farm machinery, which you've already done, instead of paying retailers in the State of Nebraska, now it's projected that the fiscal note is \$300,000 to collect the tax, that we write a check back in total to the purchaser. It just doesn't make any sense to me. And as far as the spirit of LB 1063, as Senator Hall mentioned again, one of the caveats was there we were going to have a paper trail and reporting mechanism for farm machinery. And it's every intent of mine and it's my understanding that that paper trail and reporting mechanism will be as good under the amended version of LB 3 as it was in LB 1063. And so, I mean, it's not that big of a change. To me it simply makes a lot of sense, if you're going to do it and you've already made the decision you're going to do it under LB 1063, I would simply ask that the amendment be defeated. And I will be offering some technical amendments, brought by the Department of Revenue, which will even enhance the ability for that paper trail and the Department of Revenue to make this very workable. As far as whether it's under the call, I don't know, but it's certainly something this Legislature thinks is the right policy to do. And the argument