

decision how we're going to implement that policy. And I guess you can argue, yes, that in and of itself is a policy change. My argument is it's a simple change in implementing an already chosen policy direction this body made in 1063. So let's get that straight from the beginning. You know, secondly, there has been some concern whether, with the adoption of this amendment, this bill may not pass, certainly will not get 33 votes. The other day I guess I was concerned that we wouldn't get 33 votes, and then when I read the bill there is nothing in LB 3, in any way, shape or form, that needs the emergency clause, and so that, in my opinion, is not a concern. So all we need to do to enact this policy is 25 votes. Obviously, the Governor has the ability and flexibility to veto the bill, if he wants. I guess I would argue if this amendment is outside the call the whole bill is outside the call. I mean it's that simple. I mean the policy decision of Senator Johnson's amendment, the policy decision of Senator Coordsen's amendment, they are changes in policy. If this one is outside the call, they're all outside the call. So don't worry about it. I mean if the Governor doesn't like the policy, he can veto it because of the other two. But if it's a statement of being in and out...in and out of the call, the bill already was before my amendment was adopted, so don't worry about that one. Granted, this may be a policy that the Governor doesn't want to do and he wants to veto on that grounds, and that's fine. And we may or may not get the votes to override it. And in that case, yes, you would jeopardize some other noncontroversial things. But as far as what's in and out of the call, this amendment is certainly within the guise of that, as was the other two things in LB 3. And I would argue it's as within the parameters of the call as well as things contained in LB 1. And so, I mean, I don't swallow that argument. I mean if there are changes in LB 1 that...major policy changes, obviously the taking out the reporting requirement to report all personal property, and that's a major policy change much larger than my amendment. And so I mean if LB 3 is outside of the call, you can make an argument that LB 1 already is on its very face how it was introduced, even though the Governor introduced it. So think about that. Lastly, the reporting mechanism, as we stated the other day, and actually I have some technical amendments I'm going to introduce, providing Senator Hall's amendment is not successful, to tighten that up, that I've worked with the Department of Revenue, and I'll be passing them out if we don't strip it here, that I think makes it work as well as the present system does. You have to remember, one of the policy decisions